

NACE International Calgary Section

THE CORROSION SOCIETY

<u>Raising the bar for the CP Industry. Now is the</u> <u>perfect time</u>.

Mario H. Salgado, MSc.

PROVIDENCE CORROSION Satellite Symposium

> Rocky Mountain House, AB May 11th, 2016

So, what is it?



Cathodic Protection is NOT:



The first application of cathodic protection (CP) can be traced back to 1824, when Sir Humphry Davy, in a project financed by the British Navy, succeeded in protecting copper sheathing against corrosion from seawater by the use of iron anodes.



1807



What has changed since?





What has <u>not</u> changed since?



Field Activities









What has not changed since?

NACE SP0169-2013

6.2.1.3 A structure potential of -850mV or more negative as measured with respect to a saturated copper/copper sulfate (CSE) electrode. This potential may be either a direct measurement of the polarized potential or a current-applied potential. Interpretation of a <u>current-applied measurement requires</u> <u>consideration of the significance of voltage</u> <u>drops in the earth and metallic paths</u>.



-850 mV_{CSE}

Note: the -850mV_{CSE} is susceptible to many errors that can lead to misinterpretation and therefore wrongfully analysis, conclusions and recommendations

Readings/Criteria

OR:

- 1) Reviewing historical performance of the CP system
- 2) Determining whether there is physical evidence of corrosion
- 3) Evaluating the physical and electrical characteristics of the pipe
- 4) Evaluation of indirect inspection data
- 5) Use of coupons
- 6) Other methods that confirm sufficient polarization has been achieved to control corrosion



Lack of Innovation:

Is it really driven by consumer needs/survey? Or it is more driven by fair competition?



In Alberta the largest CP contractor contributes more than 70% of the total exposure hours of all contractors combined.

Source: ISN Health & Safety Performance Indicators - Publication No.1507 (2014 Data)

Has the CP industry becomes the poor cousin in the corrosion family?

Internal Corrosion Vendor



Cathodic Protection Vendor







Pipeline failures by cause (1990-2012)



External corrosion is the 2nd leading cause and is primarily due to the external pipeline coating failing from either age or excessive production temperatures

Q1) Why is that 12% almost invariable?

Q2) Is 12% too high for relatively mildly corrosive soil?



External Corrosion Failures



1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012

- Q1) Why is that 12% almost invariable?
- Q2) Is 12% too high for relatively mildly corrosive soil?



Could it be that we need to take better informed decisions?

- Our motivation = Reduce this 12% and the cost associated with these failures
- Other motivations:
- Production loss
- Regulator's actions
- Reputation

Conclusion: The annual cost of CP is minimal compared to the cost of 1 pipeline failure

Cost Reduction = Easy solution = Contractors to reduce rates

Client



Contractors



Diagnosis can be compromised



Even worse = wrongfully diagnosis



Consequences:

- Frustration
- Resignation
- Loss of credibility



Importance of a proper inspection...





Let's stop doing the work that should be done by the bird



Use our time and resources on more important tasks

So, what needs to be done?

- Collect meaningful, accurate and reliable data: How?
 - Field Training
 - Appropriate equipment
 - Work plan/procedure
 - Reporting (credentials)





2) Remote CP surveys



What else?

3) Take advantage of available software on the market



4) Increase efficiency while on-site





What else?

5) GIS Mapping





GIS Mapping Application:



Finally:

6) Integrity Management Database





THE CORROSION SOCIETY



For our friends in Fort McMurray





PROVIDENCE CORROSION

DONAT